*The CAISO prefers that planned transmission and generation outages be scheduled well in advance so as to allow full consideration of concurrent outage requests and reliability needs. In order to support incentives for early scheduling, if the CAISO initially approves an outage requested pursuant to Tariff Sections 9 and the CAISO subsequently disapproves the outage or withholds final approval, the CAISO will cooperate with the resource owner to reschedule the outage to an agreeable period. If an agreeable period for the original outage cannot be identified, the resource owner may discuss the potential for scheduling an outage of reduced scope or duration with the CAISO pursuant to Section 9 of the Tariff.*

*If an agreeable window for a revised outage cannot be identified, and the resource owner identifies that immediate corrective action is needed because equipment has failed in service, is in danger of imminent failure, or is urgently needed to protect personnel, resubmission of a Forced Outage pursuant to Tariff Sections 9 is allowed.*

*It is generally not appropriate for the PTO or scheduling coordinator for the generator to resubmit the same (or substantially similar) disapproved Planned Outage as a Forced Outage. Additionally, it is not appropriate for a resource owner to declare Forced Outages for planned, non-urgent maintenance as it interferes with the CAISO’s ability to concurrently manage outages, could create reliability risks and interferes with the intended functioning of availability incentives (e.g., RAAIM.) Where the CAISO determines that a PTO or scheduling coordinator for a generator may have reported outages inappropriately, the CAISO and/or the Department of Market Monitoring may investigate and inform FERC of such conduct.*